# Understand the five belief perseverance biases, consequences and detection:

- Conservatism failure to incorporate new info after a view is established
- Confirmation selectively seeking information that confirms a prior view
- Representativeness tendency to make decisions based on stereotypes or patterns
- Illusion of control belief of ability to influence uncontrollable events
- **Hindsight** overestimate "ex-post" the accuracy of forecasts

3

# Understand the four processing error biases, consequences and detection:

- Anchoring and adjustment similar to conservatism but is usually tested as an under reaction to new information rather than avoidance of new info or no reaction at all
- Mental Accounting often tested as investors dividing total assets into 'buckets' based on categories (i.e. leisure, necessities, emergency)
- Framing tendency to respond differently depending on the situation
- Availability tendency to overestimate the possibility of an outcome based on ease of which the outcome comes to mind (know the four sources of availability bias)

# Types of emotional biases:

- Loss aversion includes house money effect and myopic loss aversion, tendency to treat investments differently depending on whether it is a loss or a gain
- Overconfidence tendency to overestimate own ability or knowledge
- Self control preference for present consumption (certainty) versus future (uncertainty)
- Status quo avoidance of change
- Endowment bias emotional attachment to an asset or investment
- Regret aversion avoidance of decision due to fear of regret

5

### 定義複習第二遍

### **Cognitive --five belief perseverance biases**

Conservatism	
Definition	<ul> <li>Believe what you originally believe.</li> <li>Place <i>less value</i> in <i>new information</i>.</li> <li>Relate "new" information to "old" information.</li> <li>In Bayesian term, investor <i>overweight the base rate</i> and under react to new information.</li> </ul>
Impact	<ul> <li>Slow to react new information.</li> <li>Tendency to hold winners or losers too long.</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Should <i>look</i> carefully on <i>new data</i>.</li> <li>Seek professional advice.</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	Assume you make an investment based on your own research . An adviser presents you with new information that contradicts your belief about this investment. How would you respond?

### **Cognitive Error -- five belief perseverance biases**

Confirmation	
Definition	<ul> <li>Believe what you want to believe;</li> <li>Notice information that agrees with their original forecast, focus on positive, avoid negative.</li> </ul>
Impact	<ul> <li>Reject evidence that does not support the investment;</li> <li>Too much confidence in the investment;</li> <li>Lead to under-diversified portfolio;</li> <li>Lead to overweight employer's stock;</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Seek out both confirming and <i>contradicting</i> information;</li> <li>Use <i>more</i> than one method of analysis.</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	Suppose you make an investment based on your own research. The investment doesn't more up as much as you thought it might. How you likely to respond?

### 確認偏差confirmatory bias

- 確認偏差:人們一旦形成一個較強的信念,他們就會有意識地尋找有利於證實自身信念的各種證據,不 再關注那些否定該信念的證據,並人為地扭曲新的資訊。比如女生買衣服和對自己中意的男(女)朋友 的一見鍾情。
- 確認偏差會使得投資者堅持錯誤的交易策略,導致定價錯誤的持續存在,直至非常強而有力的證據出現才能迫使改變原有的信念。

### 定義複習第二遍

### **Cognitive Error --five belief perseverance biases**

Representativeness	
Definition	<ul> <li>Believe what your own interpretation and classification</li> <li>Classify information using heuristic</li> <li>If-then classification of information into category: <ul> <li>(1) base rate neglect; or</li> <li>(2) sample size neglect</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Impact	<ul> <li>Too much focus on new information;</li> <li>Change strategy based on a small sample of information;</li> <li>May classify information into incorrect category.</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Consider true possibility that information fits a category</li> <li>Use Periodic Table of Investment return as a reference for asset classification;</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	Have you ever made a new investment because of its apparent similarity to a past successful investment (e.g., a tech stock or value stock) without doing research to validate the new investment's merits?

Fallacy (Representativeness Bias)	
<b>Conjunction</b> Fallacy	The probability of conjunction is never greater than the probability of its conjuncts; e.g., On early Sept, prob (stock down in Sept.) = 0.55, prob (stock down in the next 12 months) = 0.7 Do you like to BUY?
<b>Gambler's</b> Fallacy	If-then heuristic of mean-reversion; e.g., this stock has down for 7 quarters, the chance of price up should be higher than that of price down.
<i>Halo</i> Effect	The company with a good record of growth and past performance is a good investment.

9

# 定義複習第二遍

## **Cognitive Errors --five belief perseverance biases**

Illusion of Control	
Definition	<ul> <li>Believe what you can <i>control over</i> investment <i>outcomes</i>.</li> <li>Increasing <i>subjective</i> probability of personal success.</li> </ul>
Impact	<ul><li> Excess Trading and costs;</li><li> Concentrated portfolio.</li></ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Know the <i>complexity</i> of investing;</li> <li>Seek <i>others' opinions</i>;</li> <li>Keep <i>trading records</i> to see whether or not you can control investment outcomes.</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	You are offered two free lottery tickets. You may either select your own numbers or have a machine do it. What would you do?

### **Cognitive Error s -- five belief perseverance biases**

	Hindsight
Definition	<ul> <li>Believe you "knew" the outcomes <i>before</i> they happened;</li> <li>Individuals perceive <i>outcomes</i> (past events) as reasonable and <i>expected</i>;</li> <li>"This is what happened; this is why happened".</li> </ul>
Impact	<ul> <li>Take too much risk of investment because of <i>false sense</i> of confidence.</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Keep record of all forecast and see how accurate these forecasts were?</li> <li>Investment managers must be evaluated relative to appropriate benchmark.</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	Do you believe your investment outcomes are generally predictable or unpredictable?

**後見之明(hind-sight)--**後見之明:後見判斷(得益於事件結果回饋的判斷)與先見判斷(不知曉事件結果時的判斷)的系統差異。

即我們常說的事後諸葛亮:每當一個事件發生之後,他們總能找到若干理由,證明這一事件必然發生,覺得自己"早就知道"某一事件(如球賽、婚姻、選舉等)會出現何種結果?事實上,每個人都或多或少地存在此類心理偏向。

11

### 定義複習第二遍

### **Cognitive Errors – four information Process biases**

Anchoring & Adjustment	
Definition	<ul> <li>Decision is influenced by the <i>reference point</i> of value set by your first believe; e.g., anchor to expected price.</li> </ul>
Impact	<ul> <li>Investors tend to remain focused on and <i>stay</i> close to their <i>original forecasts</i>.</li> <li>May take <i>excess risk</i> and <i>miss opportunity</i>.</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Must consider <i>new information</i>.</li> <li>Put <i>less weight</i> to old information.</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	You purchase a stock at \$50 per share. It goes up to \$60 in a few months, and it drops to \$40 a few months later. You are uncertain what will happen next. How would you respond to this scenario?

#### 錨定與調整啟發法

- 1) 定義 -- 在判斷過程中,人們通常會根據最初得到的資訊設定一個判斷的初始值 (錨點,參考點),然後根據進一步獲得的資訊進行調整,形成比較理想的判斷。 2) 錨定與調整可能導致的偏差 -- 調整不充分:"價格粘性","貨幣幻覺"傾
- 向于高估複雜系統成功的概率和低估其失敗的概率。



### **Cognitive Errors – four information Process biases**

Mental Accounting	
Definition	<ul> <li>Decision is influenced by investors' mental classification of assets.</li> </ul>
Impact	<ul> <li>Ignoring correlation among assets. Less risk-diversification.</li> <li>May take too much risk by searching for high current income investment.</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Examine <i>all investments</i> as if they are parts of the same portfolio.</li> <li>Analyze heir <i>correlations</i>.</li> <li><i>Total return</i> consideration.</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	Generally, do you categorize your money by different financial goals, or do you look at the bigger financial picture?

13

# 定義複習第二遍

# **Cognitive Errors** – four <u>information Process</u> biases

	Framing
Definition	<ul> <li>Decision is influenced by how the question or presentation of information is provided.</li> </ul>
Impact	<ul> <li>May incorrectly identify risk of investments and have <u>suboptimal asset allocation</u>. This is because that risk aversion or loss aversion is affected by how information is framed.</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Focus on expected return and risk rather than on gains or losses.</li> </ul>
Diagnostic Question	Assume you have agreed to a financial plan created by your adviser that has a projected return of 9% and an annual standard deviation of $\pm 15\%$ (a typical plan). Would it surprise you to know that statistically in the worst case, the plan's return could be negative 36% or more in one year out of 100? Would this information cause you to rethink your risk tolerance?